## Message

From: Nesci, Kimberly [Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 1/28/2021 7:17:51 PM

To: Messina, Edward [Messina.Edward@epa.gov]

CC: Goodis, Michael [Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]; Keigwin, Richard [Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov]; Anderson, Neil

[Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]; Nguyen, Thuy [Nguyen.Thuy@epa.gov]; Echeverria, Marietta

[Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Anvil 10 + 10

Attachments: Anvil 10+10 Customer Notification FINAL LH 1-13-21 (002).pdf

Sharing. We can discuss whether/how to raise with Clarke. We received this correspondence to their customers possibly because of our past order.

From: Nguyen, Thuy <Nguyen.Thuy@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2021 1:31 PM To: Nesci, Kimberly <Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Neil <Anderson.Neil@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>; Keigwin, Richard

<Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov> **Subject:** FW: Anvil 10 + 10

Sharing with you a notification the lab just received from Clarke, since we did order some Anvil 10+10 from them. I am a little taken back by their statement "Further EPA testing has confirmed there is no PFAS chemistry in Clarke's Anvil 10+10 formulation" (end of 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, page 1). We did confirm that there was no PFAS (except PFOA background contamination) in their December 20, 2020 production sample, and that was it. Any production/formulation before that, we did not confirm.

Thuy